		0	
T 11 A C	1 . 1 .	•	~ `
1901e / \ <i>0</i>	ιορίοη αραπρικ	ic naramotors ()	0
	iccicu geomen	ic purumeters (A	• /

	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- (, ,
As—O1	1.654 (3)	N1C3	1.448 (7)
As—O2	1.689 (4)	C1—C2	1.382 (7)
As—O3	1.705 (3)	C1C6	1.379 (9)
AsC1	1.885 (5)	C2—C3	1.407 (8)
O4—N1	1.201 (7)	C3C4	1.369 (9)
O5-N1	1.249 (7)	C4—C5	1.398 (8)
O6—C4	1.360 (7)	C3C4	1.369 (8)
01-As-02	113.3 (2)	C2-C1-C6	120.9 (5)
O1—As—O3	109.6 (2)	C1—C2—C3	117.4 (5)
01AsC1	113.1 (2)	N1C3C2	115.3 (6)
O2—As—O3	104.5 (2)	N1-C3-C4	122.2 (5)
O2—As—C1	104.9 (2)	C2C3C4	122.5 (5)
O3—As—C1	111.1 (2)	O6-C4-C3	125.7 (5)
04—N1—05	123.3 (5)	O6-C4-C5	115.3 (6)
O4-N1C3	120.8 (5)	C3-C4-C5	118.9 (5)
O5-N1-C3	115.9 (6)	C4C6	119.3 (6)
As-C1C2	120.1 (4)	C1C6C5	121.0 (5)
As—C1—C6	119.0 (3)		

Anomalous-dispersion effects were included in F_c (Ibers & Hamilton, 1964).

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1988); cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992); structure solution: TEXSAN; structure refinement: DIRDIF (Beurskens, 1984), MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1984); molecular graphics: OR-TEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Equipment was provided by the SERC (UK). RHN is supported by a BBSRC (UK) studentship. WNH is a Nuffield Research Science Fellow. We thank C. Bond and G. A. Leonard for help.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BM1011). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Allen, F. H., Davies, J. E., Galloy, J. J., Johnson, O., Kennard, O., Mitchell, E. M., Mitchell, G. F., Smith, J. M. & Watson, D. G. (1991). J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 31, 187-204.
- Beurskens, P. T. (1984). DIRDIF. Direct Methods for Difference Structures – an Automatic Procedure for Phase Extension and Refinement of Difference Structure Factors. Technical Report 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Chatterjee, A. & Sen Gupta, S. P. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 3593-3595.
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2A. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Dhubhghaill, O. M. N. & Sadler, P. J. (1991). The Structure and Reactivity of Arsenic Compounds; Biological Activity and Drug Design. Structure and Bonding, pp. 130–190. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Flack, H. D. & Vincent, M. G. (1980). Acta Cryst. A36, 495-496.

- Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42-46.
- Ibers, J. A. & Hamilton, W. C. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 781–782.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Single Crystal Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2344-2346

N-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)salicylaldimine

YALCIN ELERMAN AND AYHAN ELMALI

Department of Engineering Physics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Ankara, 06100 Besevler, Ankara, Turkey

ORHAN ATAKOL

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Ankara, 06100 Besevler, Ankara, Turkey

INGRID SVOBODA

Strukturforschung, FB Materialwissenschaft, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Petersenstrasse 20, 6100 Darmstadt, Germany

(Received 14 April 1994; accepted 16 March 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, 2-(salicylideneamino)phenol, C_{13} - $H_{11}NO_2$, a potential tridentate ligand, has two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, one of which is disordered. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds occur between the pairs of atoms O(16) and N(8) [2.625 (7) Å], and O(1) and O(16) [3.571 (7) Å], the H atoms essentially being bonded to the O atoms.

Comment

Schiff base compounds have been used extensively as ligands in the field of coordination chemistry. Among these bases, salicylaldimines are of interest since intramolecular hydrogen bonding between O and N atoms plays an important role in the formation of metal complexes. Some analytical data are available on the O— $H \cdots N$ hydrogen-bond strength of 2-hydroxyaldimines (Freedman, 1961), but the situation for 2,2'-dihydroxy-aldimines has not been explained. Recently, the hydrogen bonding in various Schiff bases was investigated by a potentiometric method (Gündüz, Gündüz, Kilic,

Atakol & Köseoglu, 1991). This suggested that intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the title compound, (I), occurs between two O atoms, leading to a ninemembered ring. However, the crystal structure determination indicates the existence of both $O \cdots N$ and $O \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds as follows: $O(16) \cdots N(8)$ 2.625 (7) and $O(1) \cdots O(16)$ 3.571 (7) Å, with the H atoms essentially being bonded to the O atoms.



The two Schiff base moieties, O(1), C(2), C(3), C(4), C(5), C(6), C(7) and N(8), and N(8), C(9), C(10), C(11), C(12), C(13), C(14), C(15) and O(16) [both planar with a maximum deviation of 0.046 (7) Å], are inclined at an angle of $13.2 (7)^{\circ}$ with respect to each other.





Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids represent 50% probability boundaries and H atoms are presented as spheres of arbitrary radii.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained from the reaction of 2aminophenol (0.01 mol) with a solution of salicylaldehyde (0.01 mol) in 50 ml of ethanol. The precipitate which separated from the solution was recrystallized for 5-6 d from 2 g of Schiff base in 25 ml of dioxane solution.

Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{11}NO_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 213.24$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$

Monoclinic
C2/c
a = 38.480(10) Å
b = 9.046(2) Å
c = 12.344 (1) Å
$\beta = 106.08 (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 4129 (2) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 16
$D_r = 1.372 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

.. .

Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (*SDP*; Frenz, 1985) $T_{min} = 0.704, T_{max} =$ 0.996 5732 measured reflections 2497 independent reflections

Refinement

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.315 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Refinement on F $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.449 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ R = 0.09wR = 0.09Atomic scattering factors S = 1.15from International Tables 1771 reflections for X-ray Crystallography 307 parameters (1974, Vol. IV, Table $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ 2.3.1) $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.276$ $[U_{22} \text{ for } O(1A)]$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \Sigma_i \Sigma_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
O(1)	0.2252(1)	0.1901 (5)	0.1782 (3)	0.040 (2)
C(2)	0.2046 (2)	0.2141 (7)	0.0658 (4)	0.033 (2)
C(3)	0.1761 (3)	0.3115 (8)	0.0452 (6)	0.048 (2)
C(4)	0.1561 (3)	0.3334 (9)	-0.0684(5)	0.051 (2)
C(5)	0.1639 (3)	0.2492 (9)	-0.1536 (7)	0.073 (2)
C(6)	0.1920(2)	0.1492 (8)	-0.1254 (6)	0.061 (2)
C(7)	0.2124 (2)	0.1340(7)	-0.0193(5)	0.038 (2)
N(8)	0.2410(1)	0.0303 (5)	0.0149 (3)	0.032 (2)
C(9)	0.2575 (2)	-0.0435 (7)	-0.0484 (5)	0.040 (2)
C(10)	0.2856 (2)	-0.1445 (7)	-0.0095(5)	0.040(2)
C(11)	0.3009 (2)	-0.2120 (8)	-0.0847 (5)	0.044 (2)
C(12)	0.3301 (2)	-0.3055 (8)	-0.0518 (6)	0.053 (2)
C(13)	0.3437 (3)	-0.3352 (9)	0.0668 (6)	0.055 (2)
C(14)	0.3282 (2)	-0.2698 (9)	0.1416 (8)	0.073 (2)
C(15)	0.2989 (2)	0.1769 (7)	0.1006 (5)	0.048 (2)
O(16)	0.2845 (1)	-0.1148 (4)	0.1826 (3)	0.039 (2)
O(1A)	0.0269 (3)	0.0668 (13)	0.3136 (8)	0.104 (2)
O(1 <i>B</i>)	0.0350 (3)	0.1395 (11)	0.6841 (9)	0.098 (2)
C(2A)	0.0510(3)	0.0390 (10)	0.4181 (6)	0.068 (2)
C(3A)	0.0768 (3)	-0.0644 (9)	0.4492 (8)	0.069 (2)
C(4A)	0.0933 (4)	-0.0891 (10)	0.5569 (8)	0.080 (2)
C(5A)	0.0823 (2)	-0.0211 (8)	0.6432 (6)	0.061 (2)
C(6A)	0.0543 (2)	0.0793 (8)	0.6175 (5)	0.058 (2)
C(7A)	0.0374 (2)	0.1139 (8)	0.4993 (6)	0.053 (2)
N(8A)	0.0084 (2)	0.2170 (8)	0.4639 (6)	0.083 (2)
C(9A)	-0.0059 (2)	0.2816 (8)	0.5355 (6)	0.060 (2)
C(10A)	-0.0352 (2)	0.3816(8)	0.4976 (6)	0.055 (2)
C(11A)	0.0522 (2)	0.4136 (9)	0.3792 (5)	0.062 (2)
C(12A)	-0.0803 (3)	0.5115 (9)	0.3541 (7)	0.074 (2)
C(13A)	-0.0917(4)	0.5754 (11)	0.4391 (9)	0.091 (2)

Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 4.25-17.23^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.087 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 300 KPrism $1.0 \times 0.8 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ Orange

1771 observed reflections $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$ $R_{int} = 0.044$ $\theta_{max} = 23^{\circ}$ $h = -42 \rightarrow 42$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 18.8%

C(14A)	-0.0750 (3)	0.5548 (10)) 0.5449 (7)	0.069 (2)		
C(15A)	-0.0491 (3)	0.4543 (10)) 0.5790(7)	0.074 (2)		
O(16A)	-0.0232(3)	0.4345 (13	3) 0.6825 (8)	0.103 (2)		
O(16B)	-0.0312 (3)	0.3642 (12	2) 0.3118 (9)	0.092 (2)		
Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)						
O(1)-C((2)	.411 (5)	O(1B) - C(6A)	1.363 (8)		
CON NO	0)	410 (0)	C(74) N(94)	1 4 78 (7)		

C(7)—N(8)	1.418 (8)	$C(7A) \rightarrow N(8A)$	1.428 (7)
N(8)—C(9)	1.317 (6)	N(8A)—C(9A)	1.301 (7)
C(9)—C(10)	1.395 (9)	C(9A) - C(10A)	1.419 (7)
O(16)—C(15)	1.399 (6)	O(16A) - C(15A)	1.397 (8)
O(1A) - C(2A)	1.388 (8)	O(16B)—C(11A)	1.385 (8)
C(7)—C(2)—O(1)	119.2 (5)	C(7A)—C(6A)—O(1B)	110.6 (8)
N(8)—C(7)—C(2)	115.8 (5)	N(8A) - C(7A) - C(2A)	120.3 (6)
N(8)—C(7)—C(6)	123.9 (6)	N(8A)— $C(7A)$ — $C(6A)$	122.8 (7)
C(9)—N(8)—C(7)	128.4 (5)	C(9A)— $N(8A)$ — $C(7A)$	121.8 (8)
C(10)—C(9)—N(8)	125.7 (6)	C(9A) - C(10A) - C(11A)	123.6 (7)
C(11)—C(10)—C(9)	119.7 (6)	C(9A) - C(10A) - C(15A)	118.8 (7)
C(15)—C(10)—C(9)	122.2 (6)	C(10A) - C(9A) - N(8A)	120.5 (8)
O(16)—C(15)—C(10)	121.8 (6)	O(16A) - C(15A) - C(10A)	105.8 (8)
C(7A)— $C(2A)$ — $O(1A)$	106.6 (8)	O(16B) - C(11A) - C(10A)	- 111.3 (8)

Refinement was based on full-matrix least-squares methods with H atoms in calculated positions (C—H 1.08 Å), except for the H atoms at O(1) (0.821 Å) and O(16) (0.863 Å), which were taken from a difference Fourier map and whose coordinates were kept fixed during the refinement, together with those of the C(9) and C(9A) H atoms. The coordinates and isotropic temperature factors were kept fixed during refinement for all H atoms. The bond lengths C(15)—O(16), O(1)— C(2), N(8)—C(9), O(18)—C(6A), N(8A)—C(9A), O(1A)— C(2A), O(16B)—C(15A), C(11A)—O(16A), C(7A)—N(8A) and C(9A)—C(10A) were constrained during the refinement. The relatively high residuals can be attributed to the problem of modelling disorder in the second molecule coupled with radiation damage and weak diffraction (pairs of disordered atoms are labelled A and B)

Data collection: Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package (Frenz, 1985). Cell refinement: Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Data reduction: Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

One of the authors (YE) thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: L11119). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Freedman, H. H. (1961). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 2900-2906.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gündüz, T., Gündüz, N., Kilic, E., Atakol, O. & Köseoglu, F. (1991). Anal. Chim. Acta, 249, 427–431.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennesse, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2346-2348

Aminoethylammonium Tartrate

BILAL GÜNEŞ AND HÜSEYİN SOYLU

Gazi University, Gazi Education Faculty, Physics Department, 06500 Beşevler, Ankara, Turkey

MEHMET AKKURT

Erciyes University, Arts and Sciences Faculty, Physics Department, Kayseri, Turkey

Süheyla Özbey

Hacettepe University, Physics Engineering Department, 06532 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey

(Received 13 February 1995; accepted 10 May 1995)

Abstract

The structure of aminoethylammonium tartrate, C_2H_9 - $N_2^+.C_4H_5O_6^-$, is ionic. Ethylenediamine forms a very stable salt with tartaric acid, similar to $C_2H_{10}N_2^{2+}$. $2HPO_4^{2-}.6H_2O$ [Averbuch-Pouchot, Durif & Guitel (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1896–1898] and $C_2H_{10}N_2^{2+}$. $2C_4H_5O_6^-.2H_2O$ [Perez, (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 1083–1087]. The protonated ethylenediamine monocations are linked to the tartrate anions by strong N—H···O hydrogen bonds [N···O 2.921 (4), H···O 2.083 (4) Å, N—H···O 156.8 (3)°]. The bond lengths and angles are comparable with corresponding values observed in related molecules.

Comment

The cation and anion in the title salt, (I), do not display any unusual structural features, the C—C—C—C chain of the tartrate anion being antiperiplanar with a torsion angle value of 170.4 (3)°. The two halves of the tartrate anion, consisting of atoms C1, C2, O1, O2 and O3, and C3, C4, O4, O5 and O6, form individual planes, the dihedral angle between them being $32.16 (12)^{\circ}$. This dihedral angle is significantly smaller than that observed in other tartrates, *e.g.* 41° in manganese(II) L-tartrate (Soylu, 1985), 54.6° in D-tartaric acid (Okaya, Stemple & Kay, 1966), 57.3° in potassium hydrogen



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995